**Write your professional opinion in English**

**on one of the chosen topics**

**on up to one A4 page!**

1. Fact-checking is a recent update on X (ex-Twitter)) that allows the platform to ’correct’ potentially false information in posts made by users. The fact-checking process means that after someone tweets, e.g. data on crime rates, X’s ’independent and objective’ expert board investigates whether or not the data posted is factually correct. Many argue that via this new policy, X violates freedom of expression and uses ‘light censorship’ on users’ speech. X claims that fact-checking is not only necessary to fight against prejudices and fake news, but it serves as an educational tool as well. Which side of the argument do you agree with and why? Do you think false information posted online shall be protected under freedom of expression?
2. Meta has established a so-called Oversight Board, which serves as a quasi Supreme Court of Facebook. Experts from various fields (law, politics, economy etc.) make judgments on complex and complicated user appeals, which are then binding on the company and the users as well. Many suggest that it is dangerous that a mega platform has its own ’jurisdictional’ body; others argue that a private company has every right to establish a private board as long as it is in accordance with relevant national/international norms. Explain your position regarding this issue!